

<b>EVALUATION CRITERIA</b>		
<b>A. Rate the degree to which the community need and stated problem/issue are effectively validated</b> This section scores the agency's ability to identify such need and significance to the applicable community.		<b>Maximum Points</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> The proposal provides substantive data and other quality information to evidence the proposed issue as a priority need of the community.		<b>10</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> The proposal provides a thorough analysis of the problem/issue.		<b>5</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> The proposal demonstrates that the agency has a thorough understanding of the impact of the problem/issue on the community.		<b>5</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> The proposal shows thorough and thoughtful analysis of solutions to address the stated problem/need.		<b>5</b>
<b>Subtotal POINTS</b>		<b>25</b>
<b>B. Rate the quality of the proposed plan</b> This section scores the applicant's effectiveness in articulating a quality, effective and achievable plan which addresses the problem/issue identified.		<b>Maximum Points</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> The proposal describes measurable outcomes that are fully aligned with the purposes and priorities of the Grants in Aid Fund.		<b>5</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> The proposal describes a thorough and reasonable action plan appropriate to achieve the stated measurable outcomes. The plan is clear, feasible and actionable.		<b>10</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> The proposal effectively addresses the problem/issue identified.		<b>5</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> The applicant provided evidence clearly demonstrating the ability to acquire the resources and capacity to achieve the stated outcomes (includes leadership, staffing, facility, community relationships and partnerships, etc.)		<b>5</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> The timeline is complete, realistic and suitable given the proposed public benefit to be gained.		<b>5</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> The budget is complete, reasonable and suitable given the proposed public benefit to be gained.		<b>5</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> The applicant clearly stated performance measures appropriate to the desired outcomes and funding requested.		<b>5</b>
<b>Subtotal POINTS</b>		<b>40</b>

<p><b>C. Rate the degree to which applicant demonstrated an ability to perform the proposed grant activity (should be evidence-based) either through past performance, current capacity and/or plan to acquire the capacity to perform.</b></p> <p>This section scores the applicant's successful past performance, or current/planned capacity to perform the grant activity or similar, related activity.</p>	<b>Maximum Points</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> The applicant has provided data demonstrating past performance of the same, similar or related activities described in the proposal.	<b>5</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> The applicant demonstrates proven success in performing such work (and provided documented evidence of such performance).	<b>5</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> The applicant demonstrates possession of or submitted a concrete plan to acquire the capacity to replicate such success in accomplishing the project (knowledge, human capital, facility and other resources, stakeholders and relationships, etc.)	<b>5</b>
<b>Subtotal POINTS</b>	<b>15</b>
<p><b>D. Rate the applicant's potential for sustainability and/or additional long-term benefit to the community</b></p> <p>This section scores the applicant's ability to sustain or create positive long-term benefit for the community beyond the scope of this project.</p>	<b>Maximum Points</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> The applicant has articulated a reasonable plan for sustaining and/or expanding project activities beyond the applicable grant period.	<b>5</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> The applicant demonstrates the capacity and resources to actualize the above plan (human, financial, etc.) beyond the grant period.	<b>5</b>
<b>Subtotal POINTS</b>	<b>10</b>
<p><b>E. Rate the applicant's need (based on access to other funds and project status)</b></p> <p>This section scores the applicant based on their level of need, with the higher score given to applicants who appear to require such funding by the City to advance or essentially complete the grant activity.</p>	<b>Maximum Points</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> The applicant provides data to show that other funding is otherwise unavailable or limited to implement the grant activity.	<b>5</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> The grant activity status is such that the requested funding from the City would enable substantial advancement or successful completion.	<b>5</b>
<b>Subtotal POINTS</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b>	<b>100</b>