

HONOLULU AUTHORITY FOR RAPID TRANSPORTATION
CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU

ADDENDUM NO. 1

TO THE

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS

FOR THE

HONOLULU RAIL TRANSIT PROJECT
CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING AND INSPECTION (CE&I) II CONTRACT

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS NO. RFQ-HRT-857111

ISSUED: April 14, 2015

NOTICE TO ALL PROSPECTIVE OFFERORS:

This Addendum is hereby made a part of the Request for Qualifications RFQ-HRT-857111 (RFQ) for the HONOLULU RAIL TRANSIT PROJECT (HRTTP), CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING AND INSPECTION (CE&I) II CONTRACT, and it shall amend the said RFQ in the following respects:

1. Questions and Responses

The following questions were received in the Transit Mailbox. The responses provided by the Honolulu Authority for Rapid Transportation (HART) are as follows and are herein incorporated as a part of the RFQ:

Question #1

Does HART intend to field questions from prospective proposers? Do you have a schedule for submission/response?

Response #1

See Item No. 2 of this Addendum. All questions must be submitted by 4:30 p.m., April 17, 2015. The final addendum, which will include HART's responses to questions, is anticipated to be issued on April 23, 2015.

Question #2

Does HART intend to hold presentations/interviews with prospective proposers? Will you provide [provide] a schedule for interviews?

Response #2

Discussions with the prospective offerors will be held after receipt of the Statements of Qualifications. HART will schedule the discussion session with each offeror.

Question #3

Are we required to submit to more than one copy of our confidential information including forms and cost information?

Response #3

No.

Question #4

The submittal requirement for the Letter of Interest is clear that in the case of a team approach the prime firm and the major subcontractor firm(s) must be clearly identified. May we include Offeror Information for our major subs including project descriptions? If not in the body of the proposal, is it allowed in the appendix?

Response #4

Yes.

Question #5

Would you please verify that the "Construction Manager" listed in the RFQ as a Key Personnel role is synonymous with the "Project Manager" described in Appendix A: Special Provisions?

Appendix A: Special Provisions page 4 - "The CONTRACTOR shall, subject to written approval from HART, designate a Project Manager, who shall maintain close and frequent communication with HART's key representative and be authorized to act on behalf of the CONTRACTOR.

Response #5

Yes, the "Construction Manager" listed in the RFQ as a Key Personnel is synonymous with the "Project Manager."

Question #6

HART is assembling DB packages for Airport and City Center Guideway and Stations, amongst other solicitations. To avoid any potential organizational conflicts of interest as teams are assembled, please provide a listing of all firms involved with the development and preparation of the solicitation packages for Airport Guideway and Stations design-build contracts, City Center Guideway and Stations design-build contracts, Airport Utilities Relocation contracts, City Center Utilities Relocation contracts, and Construction On-Call work related to the H RTP East Section.

Response #6

Please refer to Appendix D, Contract Consultant Matrix.

Question #7

Regarding Section 2 Information on the Offeror, should items A through I be included for: JV entity, JV partners, and major subconsultants?

Response #7

Section 2 provides that in the case of a partnership or joint venture, items "A" through "I" should be submitted for the respective partnership, joint venture entity itself and for each member firm making up the partnership or joint venture. However, you may provide information on a major subconsultant if you wish to have the major subconsultant

evaluated. Section 1 of the RFQ states that in the case of a team approach, the major subconsultant must be clearly identified for evaluation.

Question #8

Regarding Section 2 Information on the Offeror, Item H (project examples), is that 5 projects total or 5 projects each for: JV entity, JV partners, and major subconsultants?

Response #8

Teams may submit up to five (5) projects for each partner, member, and major subconsultant.

Question #9

Regarding Section 2 Information on the Offeror, item E (References). Can references be for HART projects?

Response #9

Yes, provided that the references are not for ongoing projects.

Question #10

Regarding Section 2 Information on the Offeror, item E (References). Can references be for ongoing projects?

Response #10

No. Submittal Materials will be evaluated on past performance on projects of similar scope.

Question #11

You are requesting 1 original and 7 copies of our SOQ. For Volume 2 (Organization Documents and Balance Sheet information) is it acceptable to only submit 1 original and no copies (as this is sensitive information)

Response #11

Yes.

2. Deadline for Request for Clarifications/Questions

The deadline to request for clarification is April 17, 2015.

APPROVED:



Daniel A. Grabauskas
Executive Director and CEO
Honolulu Authority for Rapid Transportation